

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/682,520 | O'DOWD, ANTHONY JOHN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. | 2195 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

 (3) David Shifren

 (2) William Lewis

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 January 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible Art Rejection

Claims discussed:


1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patents 6,006,033; 6,115,809; 6,308,322

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to amend the claims to put the application in condition for allowance in view of the cited prior art documents.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)